



Medical Policy Reference Manual Medical Policy

6.01.022 Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the Breast

Original MPC Approval: 04/01/1998

Last Review Date: 11/01/2022

Last Revision Date: 11/01/2022

Description

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a non-invasive diagnostic imaging tool capable of demonstrating a wide variety of lesions. MRI of the breast uses Magnetic Resonance (MR) scanners with specialized breast coils and intravenous MR contrast agents for a variety of clinical indications including early detection or diagnosis of breast cancer.

Breast MRI exams uses a dedicated breast coil and by radiologists familiar with the optimal timing sequences and other technical aspects of image interpretation. The breast MR imaging center should also be able to perform MRI-guided biopsy and/or wire localization of findings detected by MRI.

Policy

MRI of the breast is **medically necessary** in breast cancer patients, for purposes of diagnosing and treatment planning, where specific tumor information provided by MRI is needed.

MRI of the breast is **medically necessary** to evaluate the contralateral breast in those patients with a new diagnosis of breast cancer who have normal clinical and mammographic findings in the contralateral breast.

MRI of the breast is **medically necessary** as a secondary breast imaging method when mammography is inconclusive.

MRI of the breast is **medically necessary** for detection of a suspected occult breast primary tumor in patients with axillary nodal adenocarcinoma (negative mammography and physical exam).

MRI of the breast is **medically necessary** to confirm the clinical diagnosis of rupture of silicone breast implants.

MRI of the breast is **medically necessary** to evaluate skin changes consistent with serious breast disease (inflammatory breast cancer; Paget's disease BI-RADS® category 1-3).

MRI of the breast is **medically necessary** for the following indications for screening for breast cancer in the following high-risk patients:

- with a known BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation; or
- a high-risk of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation, due to the known presence of the mutation in first or second-degree relatives (male or female); * or
- who have Li-Fraumeni syndrome or Cowden syndrome or Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome or who have a first-degree relative with one of these syndromes, or
- at elevated risk (20% or greater lifetime risk) of developing breast cancer as identified by model ** that are defined by family history, or
- in conjunction with mammography for women with a lifetime risk >20% based on a history of lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) or atypical ductal hyperplasia (ADH)/atypical lobular hyperplasia (ALH) or

- who received radiation therapy to the chest between the ages of 10 and 30 years of age.

MRI of the breast for routine screening and all other conditions is **not medically necessary**.

* **NOTE:** First-degree relatives includes the patient's parents, full siblings, and children. A second-degree relative includes the individual's grandparents, grandchildren, aunts, uncles, nephews, nieces, and half siblings.

** Examples of models used in estimating risk and that utilize family history include the Claus, Tyrer-Cusick and BRCAPRO models (see References, Claus, et al; Parmigiani, et al; Tyrer, et al).

MRI of the breast is available to certain members pursuant to the DC Breast Cancer Screening and Notification Amendment Act of 2018, see Medical Policy Operating Procedure 6.01.049A (cross-referenced below).

Policy Guidelines

There are no Policy Guidelines for this Operating Procedure.

Rationale:

According to a study by Kriege, Brekelmans, et al, of 1909 eligible women, MRI has a significantly higher sensitivity than standard mammography, when used for the surveillance or diagnosis of intraductal and invasive familial or hereditary cancer when applied to high genetic risk patients.

For routine screening of the population, mammography is the standard testing modality for the early detection of breast cancer.

Update 2022:

Health Care Policy Analyst performed a search of the peer-reviewed literature from December 2019 through April 2022. Findings in the recent literature do not change the conclusions on MRI of the breast. Based on a medical director decision, MRI for screening indications has been changed to not medically necessary. No change has been made to medically necessary indications.

Update 2020:

A search of the peer-reviewed literature for the period of December 2017 through December 2019 revealed findings in the recent literature do not change the conclusions regarding the medically necessary indications for the use of magnetic resonance imaging of the breast. Therefore, the policy statements remain unchanged.

Update 2017:

A search of the peer-reviewed literature for the period of October 2015 through November 2017 revealed findings in the literature do not change the conclusions regarding medically necessary indications for the use of magnetic resonance imaging of the breast. Therefore, the policy statements remain unchanged.

Update 2015:

A search of the peer-reviewed literature was performed for the period of September 2013 through September 2015. The NCCN Guidelines® for Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis, updated in 2015, conclude that breast MRI is optional for patients presenting with nipple discharge but no palpable mass. MRI of the breast should also be considered for patients presenting with skin changes suggestive of inflammatory breast cancer after mammography/ultrasound (BI-RADS® category 1-3 (negative, benign, or probably benign findings) and a benign biopsy. Breast MRI screening should also be considered for patients with a lifetime risk > 20% based on history of LCIS and ADH/ALH based on emerging evidence according to NCCN. (2A recommendation). Additionally, the NCCN concludes there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against MRI screening for women with a lifetime risk of breast cancer of 15-20%, as defined by models that are dependent on family history, heterogeneously or extremely dense breasts on mammography or women with a personal history of breast cancer, including ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). The NCCN recommends against MRI screening for women with a lifetime risk of breast cancer < 15% based on Expert Consensus Opinion.

Based on the above NCCN conclusions, additional medically necessary indications for MRI of the breast are added for the evaluation of skin changes consistent with serious breast disease (inflammatory breast cancer, Paget's disease BI-RADS® category 1-3) and for screening, in conjunction with mammography, for women with a lifetime risk >20% based on a history of lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) or atypical ductal hyperplasia (ADH)/atypical lobular hyperplasia (ALH).

Update 2013:

A search of the peer-reviewed literature was performed for the period of August 2011 through August 2013. Findings in the literature do not change the conclusions regarding medically necessary indications for the use of magnetic resonance imaging of the breast. Mammography remains the standard testing modality for persons of average risk.

Update 2011:

A search of the peer-reviewed literature for the period of July 2009 through July 2011. Revealed findings in the recent literature do not change the conclusions on the use of magnetic resonance imaging of the breast. Therefore, the policy statements are unchanged.

The medically necessary indications for the screening and diagnosis of breast cancer using MRI listed in the policy remain consistent with the current guidelines and recommendations of the American Cancer Society (2011, January) and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (2011, March) regarding MRI of the breast. For persons at average risk, mammography remains the standard testing modality in the screening for breast cancer.

Update 2009:

The medically necessary indications for the screening and diagnosis of breast cancer using MRI listed in the policy are consistent with the current guidelines and recommendations of the American Cancer Society and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) regarding MRI of the breast. For persons at average risk, mammography remains the standard testing modality in the screening for breast cancer.

Update 2007:

In March 2007, the American Cancer Society published guidelines recommending MRI screening for breast cancer for certain high-risk women. In addition, a study (Lehman, et al, 2007) regarding MRI found tumors in the contralateral breast of women newly diagnosed with breast cancer. After review of the current literature, the policy revision includes additional medically necessary indications for MRI for breast cancer. For the general population, mammography remains the standard testing modality in the early detection of breast cancer.

Benefit Applications

NOTE: For FEP business, check member's contract for benefits.

In the 2009 legislative session, the Maryland General Assembly approved House Bill 405 revising the language of Insurance Article Sec. 15-814 and Health General Article Sec. 19-706 mandating that insurers, nonprofit health service plans and health maintenance organizations provide breast cancer screening in accordance with the latest screening guidelines issued by the American Cancer Society. The criteria outlined above are compliant with this mandate.

Provider Guidelines

There are no Provider Guidelines for this Operating Procedure.

Cross References to Related Policies and Procedures

6.01.049A	Breast Cancer Screening and Notification Amendment Act of 2018 (D.C. Mandate), Procedure
11.01.002	Genetic Testing for Inherited BRCA1 or BRCA2 Mutations, Policy

References

The following were among the resources reviewed and considered in developing this policy. By reviewing and considering the resources, CareFirst does not in any way endorse the contents thereof nor assume any liability or responsibility in connection therewith. The opinions and conclusions of the authors of these resources are their own and may or may not agree with those of CareFirst.

American Cancer Society (ACS) (2017, October). Breast Cancer Early Detection. American Cancer Society recommendations for early breast cancer detection of breast cancer. Retrieved November 15, 2017. <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/breast-cancer/screening-tests-and-early-detection/american-cancer-society-recommendations-for-the-early-detection-of-breast-cancer.html>

American Cancer Society (ACS) (2019, October). Breast Cancer Early Detection. American Cancer Society recommendations for early breast cancer detection of breast cancer. Retrieved December 19, 2019. <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/breast-cancer/screening-tests-and-early-detection/american-cancer-society-recommendations-for-the-early-detection-of-breast-cancer.html>.

American Cancer Society (ACS) (2013, February). Breast Cancer: Early Detection. American Cancer Society recommendations for early breast cancer detection in women without breast symptoms. Retrieved August 21, 2013, from the World Wide Web at: <http://www.cancer.org/Cancer/BreastCancer/MoreInformation/BreastCancerEarlyDetection/breast-cancer-early-detection-ac-recs>

American Cancer Society (ACS) (2011, January). Breast Cancer: Early Detection. American Cancer Society recommendations for early breast cancer detection in women without breast symptoms. Retrieved July 5, 2011, from the World Wide Web at: <http://www.cancer.org/Cancer/BreastCancer/MoreInformation/BreastCancerEarlyDetection/breast-cancer-early-detection-ac-recs>

American Cancer Society (ACS). (2015, April). Breast Cancer: Early Detection. American Cancer Society recommendations for early breast cancer detection in women without breast symptoms. <http://www.cancer.org/cancer/breastcancer/moreinformation/breastcancerearlydetection/breast-cancer-early-detection-ac-recs>

American Cancer Society (ACS). Understanding your mammogram report – BI-RADS categories <http://www.cancer.org/treatment/understandingyourdiagnosis/examsandtestdescriptions/mammogramsandotherbreastimagingprocedures/mammograms-and-other-breast-imaging-procedures-mammo-report>.

The American Society of Breast Surgeons. (2018). Consensus Guideline on Diagnostic and Screening Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Breast. <https://www.breastsurgeons.org/docs/statements/Consensus-Guideline-on-Diagnostic-and-Screening-Magnetic-Resonance-Imaging-of-the-Breast.pdf>

Bakker, M.F., de Lange, S.V., Pijnappel, R.M., Mann, R., Peeters, P.H. MEvelyn M. Monninkhof, Ph.D., ... for the DENSE Trial Study Group*. (2019 Nov). *New England Journal of Medicine*, 381(22):2091-2102. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1903986.

Bradley, A.J., Carrington, B.M, Hammond, C.L. et al (2000). Accuracy of axillary MR imaging in treated breast cancer for distinguishing between recurrent tumor and treatment effects: does intravenous Gd-DTPA enhancement help in cases of diagnostic dilemma? *Clinical Radiology*, 55, 921-28.

Bruck, N., Koskivuo, I., Bostrom, P., Saunavaara, J., Aaltonen, R., Parkkola, R. (2018, March). Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging in patients with stage I invasive ductal breast cancer: a prospective randomized study. *Scandinavian Journal of Surgery*, 107(1):14-22. PMID 28401771.

Claus, E.B., Risch, N., Thompson, W.D. (1994). Autosomal dominant inheritance of early-onset breast cancer. Implications for risk prediction. *Cancer*, 73(3):643-51.

Coulthard, A. (1999). MRI in routine breast cancer follow-up: correlation with clinical outcome. *Clinical Radiology*, 7, 459-61.

Coulthard, A., Beveridge, C.A. & Potterton, A.J. (1999). MRI in routine breast cancer follow-up: correlation with clinical outcome. *Clinical Radiology*, 54, 459-61.

Davis, P.L. & McCarty, K.S. (1997). Sensitivity of enhanced MRI for the detection of breast cancer: new, multicentric, residual, and recurrent. *European Radiology*, 7 Suppl 5, 289-98.

Davis, P.L., McCarty, K.S. (1998). Magnetic resonance imaging in breast cancer staging. *Topics in Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 9, 60-75.

Di Leo, G., Trimboli, R.M., Benedek, A., Jereczek-Fossa, B.A., Fossati, P., Leonardi, M.C., ... Sardanelli, F. (2015, June). MR imaging for selection of patients for partial breast irradiation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Radiology*, 142508 [Epub ahead of print].

Diller, L., Medeiros Nancarrow, C., Shaffer, K., Matulonis, U., et al (2002, April). Breast cancer screening in women previously treated for Hodgkin's disease: a prospective cohort study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 20(8):2085-91.

- Drew, P.J. (1999). Prospective comparison of standard triple assessment and dynamic magnetic resonance imaging of the breast for the evaluation of symptomatic breast lesions. *Annals of Surgery*, 230(5), 680-85.
- Drew, P.J., Kerin, M.J., Turnbull, L.W. et al (1998). Routine screening for local recurrence following breast conserving therapy for cancer with dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of the breast. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, 5, 265-70.
- Goffin, J., Chappuis, P., Wong, N., Foulkes, W. (2001, November). Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Mammography in Women with a Hereditary Risk of Breast Cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, Volume 93, No. 22: 1754.
- Hayes Technology Assessment. (August 2014, July 2015, Archived September 2017). Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) for Surveillance for Breast Cancer Recurrence Following Mastectomy and Breast Reconstruction. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.
- Hayes Directory (2010, July, archived June 21, 2012). Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Breast Cancer Screening in Women at High Risk. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.
- Hayes Directory (2005, November; archived 2010, December). Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Evaluation of Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.
- Hayes Directory (2005, December; archived 2011, January). Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Presurgical Planning for Breast Cancer. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.
- Henry-Tillman, R.S., et al. (1999). Role of breast magnetic resonance imaging in determining breast as a source of unknown metastatic lymphadenopathy. *American Journal of Surgery*, 178(6), 496-500.
- Heywang-Kobrunner, S.H. (1999). Breast MRI proves worth but lacks standardization. *Diagnostic Imaging (San Franc)*, 21(11), 1676-9,171-3.
- Houssami, N., Turner, R., Macaskill, P., Turnbull, L.W., McCreedy, D.R., Tuttle, T.M., ... Solin, L.J. (2014). An individual person data meta-analysis of preoperative magnetic resonance imaging and breast cancer recurrence. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 32:392-401. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2013.52.7515.
- Houssami, N., Turner, R.M., Morrow, M. (2017, September). Meta-analysis of pre-operative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and surgical treatment for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 165(2):273-283. doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-4324-3.
- Hrung, J.M. (1999). Accuracy of MR imaging in the workup of suspicious breast lesions: a diagnostic meta-analysis. *Academic Radiology*, 6, 387-97.
- Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) Technology Assessment Report. (December 2003). Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) for the Detection of Breast Abnormalities. Retrieved from the world wide web January 13, 2006: www.icsi.org.
- Ikeda, D.M., Baker, D.R. & Daniel, B.L. (2000). Magnetic resonance imaging of breast cancer: clinical indications and breast MRI reporting system. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 12, 975-83.
- Juhl, J.H. & Crummy, A.B. (Eds.). (1998). *Essentials of Radiologic Imaging* (7th ed). Philadelphia: Lippincott - Raven.
- Kadivar, H., Goff, B.A., Phillips, W.R., Andrilla, C.H., Berg, A.O., Baldwin, L.M. (2013, August). Guideline-Inconsistent Breast Cancer Screening for Women over 50: A Vignette-Based Survey. *J Gen Intern Med [Epub ahead of print]*. Retrieved from the world wide web on August 21, 2013, at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23943421>.
- Kawashima, H., et al. (2000). Breast cancer in the dense breast: detection with contrast-enhanced dynamic MR imaging. *Journal Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 11(3), 233-43.
- Killelea, B.K., Long, J.B., Chagpar, A.B., Ma, X., Soulos, P.R., Ross, J.S., Gross, C.P., (2013, August). Trends and clinical implications of preoperative breast MRI in Medicare beneficiaries with breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat. [Epub ahead of print]*. Retrieved from the world wide web on August 21, 2013, at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23942872>.

- Koo, E., Henderson, M.A., Dwyer, M., Skandarajah, A.R. (2015, May). Management and prevention of breast cancer after radiation to the chest for childhood, adolescent, and young adulthood malignancy. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, [Epub ahead of print].
- Kramer, S, Schultz-Wendtland, R., Hagedorn, K., et al (1998). Magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of local recurrences in breast cancer. *Anticancer Research*, 18, 2159-61.
- Kramer, S, Schultz-Wendtland, R., Hagedorn, K., et al (1998). Magnetic resonance imaging and its role in the diagnosis of multicentric breast cancer. *Anticancer Research*, 18, 2163-64.
- Krammer, J., Price, E.R., Jochelson, M.S., Watson, E., Murray, M.P., Schoenberg, S.O., Morris, E.A. (2017, May). Breast MR imaging for the assessment of residual disease following initial surgery for breast cancer with positive margins. *European Radiology*, doi: 10.1007/s00330-017-4823-y. [Epub ahead of print]
- Kriege, M. Brekelmans, C., Boetes, C., et al. (2004, July 29). Efficacy of MRI and Mammography for Breast-Cancer Screening in Women with a Familial or Genetic Predisposition. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, Volume 351, Number 5: 427 - 437.
- Kuhl, C.K. (2000). MRI of breast tumors. *European Radiology*, 10(1), 46-58.
- Kuhl, C.K., Schmutzler, R.K., Leutner, C.C., Kempe, A., et al. (2000, April). Breast MR imaging screening in 192 women proved or suspected to be carriers of a breast cancer susceptibility gene: preliminary results. *Radiology*, 2000 April; 215 (1): 267 - 79.
- Kuhl, C., Schrading, S., Leutner, C., Morakkabati-Spitz, N., et al. (2005, November). Mammography, breast ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging for surveillance of women at high familial risk for breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Vol. 23, No. 33 (November 20), 2005: pp. 8569 - 8476. Retrieved from the world wide web, January 31, 2006: <http://www.jco.org/cgi/content/abstract/23/33/8469>
- Lee, C.H. (1999). Clinical usefulness of MR imaging of the breast in the evaluation of the problematic mammogram. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 173(5), 1323-29.
- Lehman, C.D., DeMartini, W., Anderson, B.O., Edge, S.B., (2009, February). Indications for breast MRI in the patient with newly diagnosed breast cancer. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, 7(2):193-201.
- Lehman, C.D., Gatsonis, C., Kuhl, C.K. Hendrick, R.E., et al (2007, March). MRI evaluation of the contralateral breast in women with recently diagnosed breast cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 356(13):1295-303.
- Li, E., Li, J., Song, Y., Xue, M., Zhou, C. (2014). A comparative study of the diagnostic value of contrast-enhanced breast MR imaging and mammography on patients with BI-RADS 3-5 microcalcifications. *PLOS One*, Vol 9, Issue 11.
- Lord, S.J., Lei, W., Craft, P., et al (2007, August). A systematic review of the effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as an addition to mammography and ultrasound in screening young women at elevated risk of breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*, [Epub ahead of print].
- Mazilu, L., Suceveanu, A., Tomescu, D., Ciufu, N., Baz, R., Suceveanu, A., et al. (2013, July-August). Optimizing the indication for breast-conservative surgery (BSC) in patients with locally advanced breast cancer. *Chirurgia (Bucur)*, 108(4):478-481.
- Mori, M., Tsunoda, H., Takamoto, Y., Murai, M., Kikuchi, M., Honda, S., Suzuki, K., Yamauchi, H. (2013, August). MRI and ultrasound evaluation of invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast after primary systemic therapy. *Breast Cancer*, [Epub ahead of print]. Retrieved from the world wide web on August 21, 2013, at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23929123>.
- Mumtaz, H., Davidson, T., et al (1997). Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and conventional triple assessment in locally recurrent breast cancer. *British Journal of Surgery*, 84, 1147-51.
- Mueller, R.D., Barkhausen, J., Sauerwein, W., Langer, R. (1998). Assessment of local recurrence after breast conserving therapy with MRI. *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, 22, 408-12.

National Cancer Institute. (June 20, 2005). Modalities of Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Screening. *Breast Cancer (PDQ®: Screening*. Last Modified: June 20, 2005. Retrieved from the world wide web January 13, 2006: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/screening/breast/HealthProfessional/page3>.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network®. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®. Breast Cancer. Version.3.2015. <http://www.NCCN.org>.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network™. (NCCN). (2017). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™. - Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis. Version 1.2017. Retrieved November 13, 2017. <http://www.nccn.org>.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network™ (NCCN). (2019). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™, - Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis. Version 1.2019. Retrieved December 19, 2019. <http://www.nccn.org>

National Comprehensive Cancer Network™. (NCCN) (2013) NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™. - Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis. Version 2.2013. Retrieved August 23, 2013, from the World Wide Web at: <http://www.nccn.org>.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network®. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®. Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis. Version.1.2015. <http://www.NCCN.org>.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network™. (NCCN) (2017). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™. Breast Cancer. Version 3.2017. Retrieved November 13, 2017. <http://www.nccn.org>.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network™. (NCCN) (2019). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™. Breast Cancer. V.3.2019. Retrieved December 19, 2019. <http://www.nccn.org>.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network™. (NCCN) (2011, March). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™. - Breast Cancer. Version 2.2011. Retrieved July 6, 2011, from the World Wide Web at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf

National Comprehensive Cancer Network®. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®. Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian. V.2.2015. <http://www.NCCN.org>.

Oeffinger, K.C., Fontham, E.T.H., Etzioni, R., Herzig, A., Michaelson, J.S., Shih, Y.T., ... Wender, R. (2015). Breast cancer screening for women at average risk 2015 guideline update from the American Cancer Society. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 314(15):1599–1614. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.12783

Health Quality Ontario. (2016, November). Magnetic resonance imaging as an adjunct to mammography for breast cancer screening in women at less than elevated risk for breast cancer: A health technology assessment. *Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series*, 16(20):1-30. PMID: 27990198

Orel, S.G. (1996). High-resolution MR imaging of the breast. *Seminars in Ultrasound, CT scan and Magnetic Resonance*, 17, 476-93.

Orel, S.G., Hochman, M.G., Schnall, M.D. et al (1996). High-resolution MR imaging of the breast: clinical context. *Radiographics*, 16, 1385-401.

Parmigiani, G., Berry, D., Aguilar, O. (1998). Determining carrier probabilities for breast cancer-susceptibility genes BRCA1 and BRCA2. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 62(1):145-58.

Saslow, D., Boetes, C., Burke, W., Harms, E., et al, (2007 March-April). American Cancer Society guidelines for Breast Screening with MRI as an Adjunct to Mammography. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 57(2):75-89.

Satake, H., Samamoto, K., Sawaki, A., et al (2000). Role of ultrasonography in the detection of intraductal spread of breast cancer: correlation with pathologic findings, mammography, and MR imaging. *European Radiology*, 10, 1726-32.

Saunders, C., Taylor, D. (2015). Expanding the indications for MRI in the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer: what is the best practice? *Journal of Medical Radiation Sciences*, 62:47-53.

Smith, Robert, PhD, Cokkinides, Vilma, PhD and Eyre, Harmon, MD. (2004) American Cancer Society Guidelines for the Early Detection of Cancer, 2004. *C A Cancer Journal Clinical* 2004; 54:41 - 52. Retrieved from the world wide web January 13, 2006: <http://caonline.amcancersoc.org/cgi/content/full/54/1/41>

Strobel, K., Schrading, S., Hansen, N.L., Barabasch, A., Kuhl, C.K. (2015). Assessment of BI-RADS category 4 lesions detected with screening mammography and screening US: utility of MR imaging. *Radiology*, 274(2):343-51.

Travis, L.B., Hill, D., Dores, G.M., Gospodarowicz, M, van Leewen, F.E., Holowaty, E., et al (2005, October). Cumulative absolute breast cancer risk for young women treated for Hodgkin lymphoma. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 97(19):1428-37.

Tyrer, J., Duffy, S.W., Cuzick, J. (2004). A breast cancer prediction model incorporating familiar ad personal risk factors. *Statistics in Medicine* 23(7):1111-30.

Van Ongeval, C. (2000). MR imaging of the breast--present indications. *JBR-BTR* 83, 80-84.

Viehweg, P., Heinig, A., Lampe, D., et al (1998). Retrospective analysis for evaluation of the value of contrast enhanced MRI in patients treated with breast conservative therapy. *MAGMA*, 7, 141-52.

Warner, By E., Plewes, D.B., Shumak, R.S., et al. (2001, August). Comparison of breast magnetic resonance imaging, mammography, and ultrasound for surveillance of women at elevated risk for hereditary breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Vol. 19, Issue 15 (August), 2001: 3524 - 3531

Weinstock, C, Campassi, C., Goloubeva, O., Wooten, K, Kesmodel, S., Bellevance, E., ... Tkaczuki, K.H.R. (2015) Breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) surveillance in breast cancer survivors. *SpringerPlus*, 4:459 DOI: 10.1186/s40064-015-1158-5.

This policy statement relates only to the services or supplies described herein. Coverage will vary from contract to contract and by line of business and should be verified before applying the terms of the policy.